

National Bibliographic Knowledgebase

Community Survey: Overall Figures

Overall Objective

To inform the development of the National Bibliographic Knowledgebase with comprehensive feedback from existing and potential contributors.

Core Research Objectives

- » Understand the requirements of the library community, and any difference in experience by library;
- » Explore rationale for contributing and the potential benefits of the NBK;
- » Understand the use of data suppliers;
- » Explore approaches to data and metadata, including data sharing;
- » Test the attractiveness of potential support mechanisms for the NBK.

30 minute online survey with
institutional responses requested




99
responses

Survey In Field:
4th June – 19th July 2018


About your Library Management System

Which LMS do you currently use?




Other: Koha (5), Soutron (4), OLE (Open Library Environment (1), Out to tender (1), EOS Sirsidynix (1), Don't have one (1), Heritage Cirqa (1)


Which format(s) can your LMS use to store and ingest bibliographic records?



Is your library involved in any of the following support networks for your LMS?




Does your LMS provide guidance on how to export large datasets to external databases?




About your data suppliers


In the last year, how often has your library used the following services for record supply/download?



Please tell us if you undertake any of the following activities to check the quality and accuracy of the bibliographic records?




Do you report back errors in the bibliographic records to suppliers?




Data and metadata


On average, how often does your library work on the following types of metadata?




How would your library rate the quality of metadata that has been added to your catalogue in the last 5 years?




How would your library rate the quality of legacy metadata (i.e. older than 5 years)




For which of these reasons would you edit your existing metadata?




Does your library consider the IFLA FRBR model when creating or amending bibliographic records?




Which cataloguing standard(s) are represented in your bibliographic records?



Which authority schema do you maintain in your bibliographic records?




Which of the following tools does your library use for data matching and manipulation?




Data sharing

Does your library do original cataloguing?




■ Yes ■ No

(If yes) Do you catalogue with sharing of records in mind?




■ Yes ■ No ■ Don't know


What type of record/data types does your library contribute to the following shared services?



Is your process manual or automated?




Which of the following functions is your library able to perform with your current LMS and in-house expertise.



Jisc Updating records based on automated error reports

Does your library update your bibliographic records based on automated error reports from shared services?




Please tell us why you do not update your bibliographic records.




*The majority of 'other' responses fall into the theme of we don't receive automated error reports, or we don't contribute to shared services


Contributing to the NBK


Drivers influencing the decision to contribute



Q25: How important are the following drivers in the decision to contribute bibliographic records to shared services?







Support and documentation

